

GENERALIZED PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS OF A FREE GROUP

Vladimir Shpilrain

ABSTRACT. We study endomorphisms of a free group of finite rank by means of their action on specific sets of elements. In particular, we prove that every endomorphism of the free group of rank 2 which preserves an automorphic orbit (i.e., acts “like an automorphism” on one particular orbit), is itself an automorphism. Then, we consider elements of a different nature, defined by means of homological properties of the corresponding one-relator group. These elements (“generalized primitive elements”), interesting in their own right, can also be used for distinguishing automorphisms among arbitrary endomorphisms.

1. Introduction

Let $F = F_n$ be the free group of a finite rank $n \geq 2$ with a set $X = \{x_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, of free generators. An element $g \in F$ is called *primitive* if it is a member of some free basis of F . Or, equivalently: there is an automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut} F$ that takes g to x_1 .

We start here by recalling Problem 2 from [12]. It is clear that the group F is a disjoint union of different orbits under the action of the group $\text{Aut} F$. Denote an orbit $\{\phi(u), \phi \in \text{Aut} F\}$ by $O_{\text{Aut} F}(u)$. It seems plausible to assume (see [12] for a general set-up) that if an endomorphism preserves some orbit $O_{\text{Aut} F}(u)$, i.e., if it acts “like an automorphism” on one particular orbit, then it acts like an automorphism everywhere, i.e., is itself an automorphism.

This general conjecture appears to be quite difficult to prove; even the case of the “simplest” orbit (the one consisting of primitive elements) is still unsettled. Here we are able to settle the conjecture in the case when F has rank 2:

Theorem 1.1. If an endomorphism ϕ of the group F_2 preserves an orbit $O_{\text{Aut} F_2}(u)$, then ϕ is actually an automorphism.

Our proof of this theorem uses the following interesting fact: there are elements of the group F_2 that cannot be subwords of any *cyclically reduced* primitive element of F_2 ; we call those elements *primitivity-blocking words*. More formally:

Definition. An element $g \in F$ is called a *primitivity-blocking word* if there is no cyclically reduced primitive element $w \in F$ such that $w = gh$ for some $h \in F$ (assuming, of course, there is no cancellation between g and h).

In the group F_2 , primitivity-blocking words are easy to find: for example, $[x_1, x_2]$ and $x_1^k x_2^l$ with $k, l \geq 2$, are primitivity-blocking words - this follows from a result of

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20E05, secondary 20F28, 16S34.

[4] (see also [11]). On the other hand, in a free group of bigger rank, the situation becomes really intriguing. We ask:

Problem. Are there primitivity-blocking words in a free group F_n if $n \geq 3$?

The attempts to extend the result of Theorem 1.1 have led me to the following generalization of the primitivity concept:

Definition. Let J be a right ideal of the free group ring ZF . An element $u \in F$ is called J -*primitive* if Fox derivatives [8] of the element u generate J as a right ideal of ZF .

Now “usual” primitive elements are the same as ZF -primitive ones - this non-trivial fact follows from a matrix characterization of primitive elements given by Umirbaev [16] (cf. also [6], Corollary IV.5.3).

Another motivation for this definition comes from commutative algebra. We recall some facts here very briefly. A vector $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ of (Laurent) polynomials is called *unimodular* if $p_1, \dots, p_m$ generate the whole (Laurent) polynomial algebra P as an ideal. Then, a vector $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ of Laurent polynomials is called Δ -*modular* (see [1]) if $p_1, \dots, p_m$ generate the augmentation ideal Δ as an ideal of the whole algebra. Suslin [14] and Artamonov [1] have proved that the group $GL_m(P)$, $m \geq 3$, acts transitively on the set of all unimodular and all Δ -modular vectors, respectively. See [9] for new interesting applications of these results to the study of metabelian groups.

Now the above cited result of Umirbaev can be considered a free group analog of Suslin’s result: it says that the group $AutF$ acts transitively on the set of all ZF -primitive elements (i.e., those with unimodular vector of Fox derivatives).

The desire to get a free group analog of Artamonov’s result draws our attention to Δ -primitive elements. First we note that one can give an equivalent definition of Δ -primitivity using a different language: an element $u \in F$ is Δ -primitive if and only if the cohomology group $H^2(G, ZF)$ is infinite cyclic, where G is one-relator group $\langle F \mid u \rangle$.

If the group F has even rank $n = 2m$, then the element $u_n = [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \dots [x_{n-1}, x_n]$ is Δ -primitive (and hence so is every element from $O_{AutF}(u_n)$) - see Example 3.1. On the other hand, free group of an odd rank has no Δ -primitive elements whatsoever - see Corollary 1.5 below. R. Bieri has pointed out to me that a combination of results of [2], [7] and [18] yields the following

Theorem 1.2. In a group F_{2m} , $m \geq 1$, any Δ -primitive element is an automorphic image of $u_{2m} = [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \dots [x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}]$.

This, together with Corollary 1.5 below, gives a free group analog of Artamonov’s result:

- in a free group F of finite rank, the group $AutF$ acts transitively on the set of all Δ -primitive elements.

In the case of F_2 , Theorem 1.2 yields an explicit combinatorial description of Δ -primitive elements since every automorphic image of $[x_1, x_2]$ in the group F_2 has the form $[x_1, x_2]^g$ or $[x_2, x_1]^g$ for some $g \in F_2$. Note that there is also an explicit combinatorial description of ZF_2 -primitive elements due to [4] (see also [11]).

There is a nice matrix characterization of Δ -primitive elements; based on that, we can use Δ -primitive elements for recognizing automorphisms:

Proposition 1.3.

- (i) An element $u \in F'_n$ is Δ -primitive if and only if the matrix $D_u = (d'_j(d_i(u)))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is invertible over the ring ZF_n .
- (ii) If ϕ takes a Δ -primitive element of the group F_n to another Δ -primitive element, then ϕ is an automorphism.

The matrix D_u (the “double Jacobian” matrix) has been introduced in [13]. Here d'_j denotes right Fox derivation whereas d_i is the “usual”, left Fox derivation - see Section 2.

We also treat here the case of a free metabelian group $M_n = F_n/F''_n$. (In the definition of a Δ -primitive element in M_n , we consider abelianized Fox derivatives):

Theorem 1.4.

- (i) The group $AutM_2$ acts transitively on the set of all Δ -primitive elements of M_2 . In other words, every Δ -primitive element of M_2 is an automorphic image of the element $[x_1, x_2]$ and therefore has the form $[x_1, x_2]^g$ or $[x_2, x_1]^g$ for some $g \in M_2$;
- (ii) There are no Δ -primitive elements in the group M_n if n is odd.

Part (i) of this theorem answers a question from [9] in rank 2 case.

Corollary 1.5. There are no Δ -primitive elements in the free group F_n if n is odd.

Talking about general case of J -primitive elements for an arbitrary right ideal J of the group ring ZF , we note first of all that J -primitive elements do not always exist. For example, if J cannot be generated by less than $(n+1)$ elements, then there are obviously no J -primitive elements in the group F_n . In fact, if g is a J -primitive element, and the ideal J is k -generated, then g has *outer rank* k in the sense defined in [12] (the minimal number of free generators on which an automorphic image of g can depend). This follows from a result of Umirbaev [17].

Deciding for which (right) ideals J of the group ring ZF the group $AutF$ acts transitively on the set of all J -primitive elements of F , seems to be a difficult problem. Actually, it makes sense only for *characteristic* ideals, i.e., those invariant under free group automorphisms. Note that if an element $g \in F$ is J -primitive for a characteristic right ideal J , then every automorphic image of g is J -primitive, too - this follows from the equality (1) in the next section.

Also, it is easy to show that for any m -generator right ideal J of ZF , the group $GL_m(ZF)$ acts transitively on the set of all m -tuples of elements generating J (Lemma 2.4).

Of particular interest are J -primitive elements of maximal outer rank $n = \text{rank} F$ in the case when J is the right ideal generated by Fox derivatives of a group element:

Proposition 1.6. Let $g \in F_n$ be an element of outer rank n , and let J be the right ideal of ZF_n generated by Fox derivatives of g . If a *monomorphism* (i.e., injective endomorphism) ϕ of the group F_n takes g to another J -primitive element, then ϕ is actually an automorphism.

In particular:

Corollary 1.7. (cf. [15]). Let $g \in F_n$ be an element of outer rank n . If $\phi(g) = g$ for some monomorphism ϕ of the group F_n , then ϕ is actually an automorphism.

2. Preliminaries

Let ZF be the integral group ring of the group F and Δ its augmentation ideal, that is, the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\epsilon : ZF \rightarrow Z$. More generally, when $R \subseteq F$ is a normal subgroup of F , we denote by Δ_R the ideal of ZF generated by all elements of the form $(r - 1)$, $r \in R$. It is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\epsilon_R : ZF \rightarrow Z(F/R)$.

The ideal Δ is a free left ZF -module with a free basis $\{(x_i - 1)\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and left Fox derivations d_i are projections to the corresponding free cyclic direct summands. Thus any element $u \in \Delta$ can be uniquely written in the form $u = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(u)(x_i - 1)$.

Since the ideal Δ is a free right ZF -module as well, one can define right Fox derivatives $d'_i(u)$ accordingly, so that $u = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 1)d'_i(u)$.

One can extend these derivations linearly to the whole ZF by setting $d'_i(1) = d_i(1) = 0$.

The next lemma is an immediate consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 2.1. Let J be an arbitrary left (right) ideal of ZF and let $u \in \Delta$. Then $u \in \Delta J$ ($u \in J\Delta$) if and only if $d'_i(u) \in J$ ($d_i(u) \in J$) for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof of the next lemma can be found in [8].

Lemma 2.2. Let R be a normal subgroup of F , and let $y \in F$. Then $y - 1 \in \Delta_R\Delta$ if and only if $y \in R'$.

We also need the “chain rule” for Fox derivations (see [8]):

Lemma 2.3. Let ϕ be an endomorphism of F (it can be linearly extended to ZF) defined by $\phi(x_k) = y_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and let $v = \phi(u)$ for some $u, v \in ZF$. Then:

$$d_j(v) = \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(d_k(u))d_j(y_k).$$

For an endomorphism $\phi : x_i \rightarrow y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, of the group F_n , let $J_\phi = (d_j(y_i))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the Jacobian matrix of ϕ . We are going to need the following application of Lemma 2.3: if $g, h \in F_n$ and $h = \phi(g)$, then

$$(d_1(h)), \dots, d_n(h)) = (\phi(d_1(g)), \dots, \phi(d_n(g)))J_\phi. \quad (1)$$

Now comes the key lemma:

Lemma 2.4. Let J be a right ideal of ZF (hence a free right module over ZF - see [5]) generated as a free module over ZF by $u_1, \dots, u_m$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) A matrix $M = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ is invertible over ZF (i.e., $M \in GL_m(ZF)$) ;
- (b) The elements $y_j = \sum_{k=1}^m (u_k - 1)a_{kj}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, generate the ideal J as a right ideal of ZF .

Proof. Suppose M is invertible over ZF ; denote by U the row matrix $(u_1, \dots, u_m)$, and by Y - the row matrix $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$. Then $UMM^{-1} = YM^{-1} = U$ which means that $u_1, \dots, u_m$ belong to the right ideal of ZF generated by $y_1, \dots, y_m$. Conversely, suppose we have $YB = U$ for some matrix B over ZF . Then $UMB = U$, hence $MB = I$, the identity matrix, because $(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ form a free basis of a free right ZF -module J . This implies $M \in GL_m(ZF)$ - see e.g. [5].

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider several possibilities for an orbit $O_{Aut F_2}(u)$:

(1) u is a primitive element. In this case, the image of every primitive element of F_2 under the endomorphism ϕ is primitive. By composing ϕ with some automorphism of the group F_2 if necessary, we may assume that $\phi(x_1) = x_1$.

Now write $\phi(x_2) = x_1^k g$, where k is an integer, and g is an element of the normal closure of x_2 , so that g is a product of elements of the form $h_i x_2^{\pm 1} h_i^{-1}$.

Since every element of the form $x_1^n x_2$ is primitive, its image $s = \phi(x_1^n x_2) = x_1^{n+k} g$ is primitive, too.

Recall now a result of [4] which says that if w is a primitive element of F_2 , then some conjugate of w can be written in the form $x_1^{k_1} x_2^{l_1} \dots x_1^{k_m} x_2^{l_m}$, so that some of x_i occurs either solely in the exponent 1 or solely in the exponent -1 .

We see that for sufficiently large n , generator x_1 would not occur in any conjugate of s neither solely in the exponent 1 nor solely in the exponent -1 . Therefore, x_2 should be the one with this property.

It follows that no h_i in the decomposition of g mentioned above, has entries of x_2 , so that every h_i is a power of x_1 .

Then, since the element $x_1^{-k} x_2$ is primitive, its image $\phi(x_1^{-k} x_2) = g$ is primitive as well. This implies that the sum of powers of x_2 in the decomposition of g should be equal to ± 1 ; otherwise g would not be primitive even modulo F_2' . It follows that our g is actually conjugate to $x_2^{\pm 1}$.

Summing up, we see that g has the form $hx_2^{\pm 1}h^{-1}$ for some $h \in F_2$, h a power of x_1 . Therefore, $\phi(x_1) = x_1$ and $\phi(x_2) = x_1^k g$ generate the group F_2 , i.e., ϕ is an automorphism.

(2) $u = v^k$ is a power of a primitive element v . In this case, every image of u under the endomorphism ϕ has the form w^k , w a primitive element. It follows that the image of every primitive element of F_2 is primitive, hence we may apply the argument from the previous case.

(3) u has outer rank 2, i.e, u does not belong to a proper free factor of F_2 . If u does not belong to a proper *retract* of F_2 , then ϕ is an automorphism by a result of Turner [15].

Suppose now that u belongs to a proper retract R of F_2 , and ϕ is the corresponding *retraction*, i.e., $\phi(F_2) = R$ (otherwise ϕ would already be an automorphism by [15]). Since R is a proper retract of F_2 , it should have rank smaller than 2, i.e., R is cyclic, and $R \subseteq O_{AutF}(u)$.

We show now that no automorphic orbit $O_{AutF}(u)$ can contain a non-trivial cyclic group. By means of contradiction, suppose some automorphic image of some $s \in O_{AutF}(u)$ is of the form s^k , $k \geq 2$, and let s have minimal length among all the elements of $O_{AutF}(u)$ with this property. Let $\alpha(s) = s^k$ with $k \geq 2$, and let $\alpha^{-1}(s) = r$. Then $\alpha(s) = \alpha(r)^k = \alpha(r^k)$, hence $s = r^k$. Thus, every automorphic image of r is of the form r^m ; furthermore, $r \in O_{AutF}(u)$ (since $r = \alpha^{-1}(s)$), and r has length smaller than that of s (see [10], Proposition I.2.15). This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Before getting to a proof of Theorem 1.2, we consider a couple of examples.

Example 3.1. The element $u = [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \dots [x_{m-1}, x_m]$ of the group F_{2m} is Δ -primitive since the corresponding double Jacobian matrix D_u is invertible - see [12], Proposition 4.1.

Example 3.2. The element $v = [x_1, x_2][x_2, x_3][x_3, x_4]$ of the group F_4 is Δ -primitive. It is not quite obvious that v is an automorphic image of $u = [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4]$. However, this is the case: u is taken to v by the following automorphism: $x_1 \rightarrow x_1 x_3^{-1}$; $x_2 \rightarrow x_3 x_2 x_3^{-1}$; $x_3 \rightarrow x_3$; $x_4 \rightarrow x_4$.

Now we get to Theorem 1.2:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We give a proof here without introducing background material, just referring to [2] and [7] for details.

First of all, it is an immediate consequence of the definition that $g \in F$ is a Δ -primitive element if and only if for the right ideal J_g of the group ring ZF generated by Fox derivatives of u , one has factor-module ZF/J_g isomorphic to the trivial F -module Z . In other words, as we have mentioned in the Introduction, the cohomology group $H^2(G, ZF)$ of the one-relator group $G = \langle F \mid g \rangle$ is infinite cyclic. It is also clear that the group G is torsion-free.

Then, Theorem 9.3 of [2] implies that the group $\langle F \mid g \rangle$ is a *Poincaré duality group of dimension 2* (PD^2 -group). It has been proved later in [7] that every one-relator (torsion-free) PD^2 -group is a surface group.

Applying now a result of Zieschang [18], we see that, in case $F = F_{2m}$, g must be an automorphic image of $u_{2m} = [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \dots [x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}]$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 1.3.

(i) First suppose the matrix $D_u = (d'_j(d_i(u)))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is invertible. Then, by Lemma 2.4, the elements $y_i = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - 1) d'_k(d_i(u)) = d_i(u) - \epsilon(d_i(u))$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, generate the ideal Δ as a right ideal of ZF . Since $u \in F'$, we have $\epsilon(d_i(u)) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, by Lemmas 2.1, 2.2. Therefore, u is Δ -primitive.

Conversely, if u is Δ -primitive, then the elements $d_i(u) = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - 1) d'_k(d_i(u))$, generate Δ as a right ideal of ZF . Again by Lemma 2.4, the matrix D_u is invertible.

(ii) We use an argument from [13] implying that if a matrix $D_{\phi(u)}$ is invertible and $u \in F'$, then ϕ is an automorphism. Applying part (i) of this proposition completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First of all, note that if u is Δ -primitive, then $u \in M'$; otherwise some $d_i(u)$ wouldn't belong to Δ by Lemmas 2.1, 2.2.

(i) Let $h \in M'_2$; then we can write h as $[x_1, x_2]^w$ for some $w \in ZA_2 = Z(M_2/M'_2)$. Then for abelianized Fox derivatives (we denote them the same way as the ones in a free group ring when there is no ambiguity) we have: $d_i(h) = w \cdot d_i([x_1, x_2])$. Hence if $d_i(h)$, $i = 1, 2$, generate the same ideal of ZA_2 as $d_i([x_1, x_2])$, the element w should be invertible in ZA_2 , which means it has the form $\pm g$ for some $g \in M_2/M'_2$.

Thus $h = [x_1, x_2]^{\pm g}$; in particular, it is an automorphic image of $[x_1, x_2]$.

(ii) Consider basic commutators of weight 2 in the group M_n : $c_1 = [x_1, x_2]$; $c_2 = [x_1, x_3]$; ...; $c_N = [x_{n-1}, x_n]$, where $N = n(n-1)/2$, and consider a product of the form $w = c_1^{k_1} c_2^{k_2} \dots c_N^{k_N}$. Evaluate abelianized Fox derivatives of the element w :

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(w) &= k_1(x_2 - 1) + \dots + k_{n-1}(x_n - 1); \\ d_2(w) &= -k_1(x_1 - 1) + k_n(x_3 - 1) + \dots + k_{2n-3}(x_n - 1); \\ d_3(w) &= -k_2(x_1 - 1) - k_n(x_2 - 1) + k_{2n-2}(x_4 - 1) + \dots + k_{3n-6}(x_n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

...

$$d_n(w) = -k_{n-1}(x_1 - 1) - \dots - k_{n(n-1)/2}(x_{n-1} - 1).$$

We are now going to show that these derivatives do not generate Δ even modulo Δ^2 . To do that, it suffices to show that they are linearly dependent, i.e., that the $n \times n$ matrix of coefficients (its (i, j) th entry is the coefficient at $(x_j - 1)$ in the decomposition of $d_i(w)$ above) has determinant 0.

It is easy to see that this matrix (denote it by $A = (a_{ij})$) is antisymmetric, with zeroes on the diagonal. The determinant of a matrix like that must be 0

if n is odd. Indeed, consider a summand $a_{1,i_1}a_{2,i_2}\dots a_{n,i_n}$ in the decomposition of the determinant. If there is at least one diagonal element among these a_{k,i_k} , then the product is 0. If all a_{k,i_k} are off-diagonal elements, consider the “reflection” $a_{i_1,1}a_{i_2,2}\dots a_{i_n,n}$. These 2 summands go with different signs since $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$, and n is odd. Therefore, they cancel out which proves that the determinant of A equals 0. This completes the proof of part (ii).

Proof of Corollary 1.5. If there were a Δ -primitive element in F_n , its image in M_n would be a Δ -primitive element of M_n which contradicts Theorem 1.4 (ii).

Proof of Proposition 1.6. Let $h = \phi(g)$ be a J -primitive element. Since g has outer rank n , the right ideal J is n -generated by [17]. It follows that the elements $d_1(g), \dots, d_n(g)$ freely generate J as a right ideal of ZF_n . Indeed, if there were a (right) ZF_n -dependence between these elements, then one of them would belong to the right ideal generated by the others - this follows from a general theory of [5]. Therefore, J could be generated by less than n elements.

Thus by Lemma 2.4, for some matrix $M \in GL_n(ZF_n)$, we have:

$$(d_1(h), \dots, d_n(h)) = (d_1(g), \dots, d_n(g))M. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, by the equation (1) in the Preliminaries, we have:

$$(d_1(h), \dots, d_n(h)) = (\phi(d_1(g)), \dots, \phi(d_n(g)))J_\phi.$$

This together with (2) gives

$$(d_1(g), \dots, d_n(g)) = (\phi(d_1(g)), \dots, \phi(d_n(g)))J_\phi M^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

This means $J \subseteq \phi(J)$. Since ϕ is a monomorphism, this yields $J = \phi(J)$, in which case the matrix $J_\phi M^{-1}$ on the right-hand side of (3) must be invertible by Lemma 2.4. Therefore, J_ϕ is invertible, too, hence $\phi \in \text{Aut} F_n$ by [3].

Corollary 1.7 follows immediately.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to S.Ivanov for numerous useful discussions, and to R.Bieri - for pointing out Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] V.A.Artamonov, *Projective metabelian groups and Lie algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **42** (1978), 226-236.
- [2] R.Bieri, B.Eckmann, *Relative homology and Poincaré duality for group pairs*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **13** (1978), 277-319.

- [3] J.S.Birman, *An inverse function theorem for free groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 634-638.
- [4] M.Cohen, W.Metzler and B.Zimmermann, *What does a basis of $F[a, b]$ look like?*, Math. Ann. **257** (1981), 435-445.
- [5] P.M.Cohn, *Free rings and their relations*, Second edition, Academic Press, London, 1985.
- [6] W.Dicks, M.J.Dunwoody, *Groups acting on graphs*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [7] B.Eckmann, H.Müller, *Poincaré duality groups of dimension 2*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55** (1980), 510-520.
- [8] R.H.Fox, *Free differential calculus. I. Derivation in the free group ring*, Ann. of Math. **57** (1953), 547-560.
- [9] C.K.Gupta, N.D.Gupta and G.A.Noskov, *Some applications of Artamonov-Quillen-Suslin theorems to metabelian inner rank and primitivity*, Canad. J. Math. **46** (1994), 298-307.
- [10] R.Lyndon, P.Shupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Series of Modern Studies in Math. **89**. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [11] R.P.Osborne, H.Zieschang, *Primitives in the free group on two generators*, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), 17-24.
- [12] V. Shpilrain, *Recognizing automorphisms of the free groups*, Arch. Math. **62** (1994), 385-392.
- [13] V.Shpilrain, *Test elements for endomorphisms of free groups and algebras*, Israel J. Math. **92** (1995), 307-316.
- [14] A. A. Suslin, *On the structure of the special linear group over polynomial rings*, Math. USSR Izv., **11** (1977), 221-238.
- [15] E.C.Turner, *Test words for automorphisms of free groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [16] U.U.Umirbaev, *Primitive elements of free groups*, Russian Math. Surveys **49** (1994), 184-185.
- [17] U.U.Umirbaev, *On the rank of elements of free groups*, Russian Math. Surveys, to appear.
- [18] H.Zieschang, *Alternierende Produkte in freien Gruppen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **27** (1964), 12-31.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106
e-mail address: shpil@math.ucsb.edu